IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.283 OF 2002

Mohan Thakurdas Chawla. ...Petitioner.
Versus
1.The State of Maharashtra, &
2.Shri.T.S.Kishnani. ...Respondents.

....
Mr.S.V.Marwadi, Adv. for the Petitioner.
Mr.K.V.Saste, APP, for the State.
....

ALONG WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3158 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.283 OF 2002

Mohan Thakurdas Chawla. ...Applicant.
Versus
1.State of Maharashtra,
2.T.S.Krishnan,
3.Shri Bhagchand T. Krishnani, &
4.Smt.Jyoti B. Krishnani. ...Respondents.

....
Mr.S.V.Marwadi, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.K.V.Saste, APP, for the State.
....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATED : 30th April, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner-orig.accused and the learned APP for the State. The Applicant and respondent No.4 Smt.Jyoti are present in-person. Respondent No.4 Smt.Jyoti represents respondent No.2, in Cri.Application No.3158/2005.

2. During pendency of the matter, the parties

have arrived at settlement and consent terms to that effect have been tendered. The said consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification.

3. Both Revision and Application are disposed of in terms of consent terms.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)